

02-277

From: c2lgeneroza@aol.com  
To: Michael Copps  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 12:13 PM  
Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

Sincerely,

Gene Roza  
346 Sylmar Ave  
Glovis, California 93612

From: Nan Ayers  
To: Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 12:50 PM  
Subject: Television, cable, and internet services

**EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

I am very concerned that we pay what a lot for our TV/cable service and there doesn't seem to be competition in these markets, though you can take your business "next door." Every corporate player pitches their service to cost about the same price: expensive.

We are headed in the wrong direction: consolidation is not the answer. We need many smaller companies to actually compete locally and offer people the choice of what they really want: not hundreds of channels offering a lot of drek. That's the corporate approach.

And since "At Home" sold to ATT and they sold to Comcast, if they can send me one bill for internet AND cable instead of two, why should the price go up? I'd be expecting a 10-15% discount on these services. What sort of business environment supports such a raise in rates? My insurance company gives me a discount the more coverages I have with them, why not cable services? More regulation doesn't seem to have helped Californians with PGE, and SBC is complicit in the "we'll charge about what the other guys charge" affair. I despair for the public interest.

And while I'm up, won't you please weigh in on the debate regarding media consolidation. The FCC Chairman says the rules are outdated, yet the intention of those old rules are more necessary than ever. *E Pluribus Unum*. Having access to Canadian news, the BBC, and DW gives an interesting and informative perspective on world events.

There are no "US at War" logos or theme music on these newscasts and I find offensive that US media outlets see fit to showcase the sacrifice American families have been obliged make in the same way they offer 'star search' or the like. Shame on them: they are licensed to serve the public and instead of 'homecooked meals' we get something quick and easy. If only the time saved in thoughtfully presenting the news meant better quality programming elsewhere. I fear it only goes to executive bonuses.

More media consolidation is certainly not going to improve matters. Neither for Television as whole nor for the cable services industry either.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

**From:** cdesperdicio@hotmail.com  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy  
**Date:** Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:03 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership **rules**. Repeal or significant modification of these **rules** would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership **rules** are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not **less**, about proposed changes would best **serve** the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Sincerely,

Carlos Pecciotto  
3327 W. Hirsch #2  
Chicago, Illinois 60651

**From:** cdesperdicio@hotmail.com  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:03 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

**EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about **proposed** changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

APR - 9 2003

Sincerely,

Carlos Pecciotto  
3327 W. Hirsch #2  
Chicago, Illinois 60651

**From:** cdesperdicio@hotmail.com  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:03 PM  
**Subject:** Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership **rules** are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that **use** local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission **see** the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.



Sincerely,

Carlos Pecciotto  
3327 W. Hirsch #2  
Chicago, Illinois 60651

From: Marcella Guerriero  
To: Michael Copps  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:18 PM  
Subject: FCC regulation changes

**EX PARTE OR LATE FILED**

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to register my formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between **N**broadcast networks or increase the number of local N o r radio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers. advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming.

Deregulation of radio has already created problems of local access and loss of diversity. Our democracy depends upon the fair and equal access to media outlets by independent voices which the FCC was created to protect. I applaud Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

Sincerely,

Marcella Guerriero  
37A Cleveland Street  
Charleston, SC 29403  
marcellag@charleston.net

RECEIVED  
APR - 9 2003  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

CC: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner Adelstein

From: Marcella Guerriero  
To: Michael Copps  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:18 PM  
Subject: FCC regulation changes

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to register my formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between TV broadcast networks or increase the number of local TV or radio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming.

Deregulation of radio has already created problems of local access and loss of diversity. Our democracy depends upon the fair and equal access to media outlets by independent voices which the FCC was created to protect. I applaud Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

Sincerely,

Marcella Guerriero  
37A Cleveland Street  
Charleston, SC 29403  
marcellag@charleston.net

RECEIVED  
APR - 9 2003  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

**CC:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner Adelstein

**From:** Marcella Guerriero  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:18 PM  
**Subject:** FCC regulation changes

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to register my formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between TV broadcast networks or increase the number of local N o r radio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming.

Deregulation of radio has already created problems of local access and **loss** of diversity. Our democracy depends upon the fair and equal access to media outlets by independent voices which the FCC was created to protect. I applaud Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

Sincerely,

Marcella Guerriero  
37A Cleveland Street  
Charleston, SC 29403  
marcellag@charleston.net

CC: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner Adelstein

From: MediaManr Productions  
To: Mike Powell  
Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 1:19 PM  
Subject: Must Limit Consolidation & Mergers

Dear Mr. Powell and other FCC Commissioners:

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

The Republican party claims to be the party of "less government." of "small business" and "open and fair competition." Yet the FCC, I'm told, is now pushing for (accepting) consolidation of national media and is likely to approve the merger and consolidation of national and international media outlets. Folks, your boss's bias for big business and pro monopolistic policies are a clear indication that the key principle of the Republican party is MONEY and nothing more. All the other claims are bogus smoke screens to keep the masses content.

Please resist the temptation to suck up to George Junior and deny the mergers and consolidation of media outlets his campaign for re-election is pushing for. Approving these mergers will be a major mistake for all Americans and for the producers of independent programming. Junior may be willing to sell off the principles of his party for his re-election coffers, but you don't have to be blind, mindless clones of his. Show some guts. Stand on virtue and principle. And go to church every Sunday without the guilt of screwing over the American public for George's (and his friends') personal gains. Remember that your actions will be judged by Americans over time, and regardless of the monetary or political gains you get in the short term, you will also have to meet God one day. When you do, will you stand tall?... or feel the heat that your selfish, hurtful actions during your life create for you?

Please be a good American, not a good Republican... Will Reynolds,  
Nashville, TN

willreynolds@mediamanproductions.com

CC: KathleenAbernathy. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: MediaManr Productions  
To: Mike Powell  
Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 1:19 PM  
Subject: Must Limit Consolidation & Mergers

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear Mr. Powell and other FCC Commissioners:

The Republican party claims to be the party of "less government," of "small business" and "open and fair competition." Yet the FCC, I'm told, is now pushing for (accepting) consolidation of national media and is likely to approve the merger and consolidation of national and international media outlets. Folks, your boss's bias for big business and pro monopolistic policies are a clear indication that the key principle of the Republican party is MONEY and nothing more. All the other claims are bogus smoke screens to keep the masses content.

Please resist the temptation to suck up to George Junior and deny the mergers and consolidation of media outlets his campaign for re-election is pushing for. Approving these mergers will be a major mistake for all Americans and for the producers of independent programming. Junior may be willing to sell off the principles of his party for his re-election coffers, but you don't have to be blind, mindless clones of his. Show some guts. Stand on virtue and principle. And go to church every Sunday without the guilt of screwing over the American public for George's (and his friends') personal gains. Remember that your actions will be judged by Americans over time, and regardless of the monetary or political gains you get in the short term, you will also have to meet God one day. When you do, will you stand tall?... or feel the heat that your selfish, hurtful actions during your life create for you?

Please be a good American, not a good Republican... Will Reynolds,  
Nashville, TN

**willreynolds@mediamanproductions.com**

CC: Kathleen Abernathy. KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: gjdalton@worldnet.att.net  
To: Michael Copps  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:45 PM  
Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

Sincerely,

Gerald J Dalton  
874 Benedetti Drive #202  
Naperville, Illinois 60563



From: gjdalton@worldnet.att.net  
 To: Kathleen Abernathy  
 Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:45 PM  
 Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy

Dear FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Gerald J Dalton  
874 Benedetti Drive #202  
Naperville, Illinois 60563

From: gjdalton@worldnet.att.net  
To: Mike Powell  
Date: Sat, Apr 5.2003 1:45 PM  
Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Dear FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.

Sincerely,

Gerald J Dalton  
874 Benedetti Drive #202  
Naperville, Illinois 60563



**From:** Gwylen Gallimard & Gwylen Gallimard & Jean-Mane Mauclet  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, KM KJMWEB. Commissioner Adelstein, Michael  
**Copps**  
**Date:** Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:53 PM  
**Subject:** FW: FOR FREE SPEECH

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Please let this letter serve as our formal complaint and objection to any pending FCC rulings which may lift restriction's on mergers between TV broadcast networks and the number of local TV or radio stations owned by one company. Such deregulation threatens to further stifle the diversity of programming for consumers, advertisers and producers. One of the main charges of the FCC is to promote diversity, which doesn't just refer to people of color, it refers to many different types of programming. We applaud you Commissioner Copps for attempting to draw attention to this problem. I would like to go on record as being opposed to increased or further media deregulation and wish for you to act on my behalf and STOP further media deregulation.

RECEIVED

APR - 9 2003

Respectfully yours,  
<jemagwga@knology.net>

From: mark wynn  
To: Michael Copps, Mike Powell, KM KJMWEB, Kathleen Abemathy, Commissioner  
Adelstein  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:56 PM  
Subject: Concerns about media conglomerates...

I have recently been made aware of a certain law that is under consideration of being recinded that limits the number of media outlets one company controls. I needn't tell you of the catastrophic results to American free thought if this were to happen. The media conglomerates have intentionally let this subject be over looked in the war coverage that is currently distracting the country. Please, I beg you, don't allow pressure to influence you into OKing this, the results will be to hand over control of the United States to foreign influence.

President Washington stated in his farewell address "Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence the jealousy of a free people should always be awake, since history and experience prove that foreign influence is the most baneful foe of republican gov't". and he went on..." The real patriots who resist the intrigues of the favorite are liable to become suspected and odious, while the tools and dupes usurp the applause and confidence of the people to surrender their interests".

Your decision will make or break this country I hope you realize the severity of this! Good Luck, Mark Wynn

Do you Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! Tax Center • File online, calculators, forms, and more

From: mark wynn  
To: Michael Copps, Mike Powell, KM KJMWEB, Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner  
Adelstein  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 1:56 PM  
Subject: Concerns about media conglomerates..

I have recently been made aware of a certain law that is under consideration of being recinded that limits the number of media outlets one company controls. I needn't tell you of the catastrophic results to American free thought if this were to happen. The media conglomerates have intentionally let this subject be over looked in the war coverage that is currently distracting the country. Please, I beg you, don't allow pressure to influence you into OKing this, the results will be to hand over control of the United States to foreign influence.

President Washington stated in his farewell address "Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence the jealousy of a free people should always be awake, since history and experience prove that foreign influence is the most baneful foe of republican gov't". and he went on..." The real patriots who resist the intrigues of the favorite are liable to become suspected and odious, while the tools and dupes usurp the applause and confidence of the people to surrender their interests".

Your decision will make or break this country I hope you realize the severity of this! Good Luck, Mark Wynn

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
Yahoo! Tax Center - File online, calculators, forms, and more

From: smkrlohne@aol.com  
To: Michael Copps  
Date: Sat, Apr 5, 2003 2:27 PM  
Subject: Preserve Media Diversity: Keep the FCC Rulemaking an Open Process

FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps

Dear FCC Commissioner Michael C. Copps,

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering sweeping changes to broadcast ownership rules. Repeal or significant modification of these rules would likely open the door to numerous mergers that could reduce competition and diversity in the media.

Before the media ownership rules are issued in final form, the public must have the opportunity to review and comment on any specific changes the Commission plans to make.

If media ownership rules are seriously weakened, one company in a town could control the most popular newspaper, TV station, and possibly even a cable system giving it dominant influence over the content and slant of local news. Such a move would reduce the diversity of cultural and political discussion in a community. It could also raise costs for businesses and candidates that use local media for advertising.

While the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on media ownership, it proposed no actual rule. Accordingly, no public comment has been received on any specific changes. We believe that additional input from the public will help the Commission see the strengths and weaknesses of any new approach.

I encourage you to provide a detailed description of all proposed changes, their empirical basis, and a meaningful period of time for the public to review and comment on any proposed changes before a final rule is issued.

The stakes for citizens and the nation are enormous. More information, not less, about proposed changes would best serve the public interest. Indeed, we hope the Commission would do everything in its power to keep the rulemaking process as open and inclusive as possible.



Sincerely,

Lori Noviello  
116 Grand Avenue  
Long Branch, New Jersey 07740